

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE
9

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 DANIEL NIX,


14 Defendant.
15

Case No. CR17-105RSL

ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO COMPEL DISCOVERY

16 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's "Motion to Compel Discovery." Dkt.
17 # 53. Since this motion was filed, the government has produced much of the material that the
18 motion seeks. See Dkt. # 65 (Government's Status Report Re Discovery); Dkt. # 73
19 (Defendant's First Status Update). On the government's motion, the Court also issued an
20 Amended Protective Order, Dkt. # 77, to facilitate additional production of discovery to
21 defendant himself, who is proceeding *pro se* after his conduct led the Court find that he waived
22 the right to counsel, see Dkt. # 74. Given these developments, the motion, Dkt. # 53, is DENIED
23 without prejudice to defendant seeking future relief if the circumstances warrant it.

24 DATED this 15th day of May, 2018.
25

26 

27 Robert S. Lasnik
28 United States District Judge